IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2421 OF 2001**

Veena Sunil Patki ...Petitioner

**Versus**

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

Mr. Abjijit Rane with Mr. Madhav Jamdar for the Petitioner

Mr. S.R. Nargolkar, Assistant Government Pleader,
for the State.

**CORAM: V.G. PALSHIKAR, Ag.C.J., &**
**SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.**
**DATE: FEBRUARY 8, 2006.**

**P.C.:-**

1. By this petition, the petitioner has challenged the order passed by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (for short, "the Tribunal"), rejecting the Original Application of the petitioner. By the impugned order, the Tribunal has directed that if the post held by each of the applicants before the judgment is to be filled in by giving an appointment to an *ad hoc* appointee, then the same must be given to the

present petitioner. Therefore, there is no threat to the appointment and continuance of the petitioner till a candidate duly selected by the Maharashtra Public Service Commission is appointed. The order further protects the petitioner in relation to her age relaxation. It also permits the State to keep the posts vacant. Consequently, no interference is called for. Petition is dismissed. Rule is discharged.